

OBJECTIVES

NZA is

looking to understand the different strategies available to minimize conflict between OSW development and fishers (e.g., mitigation)

AND

wants to understand approaches that can be used to create and administer an FCP



INDUSTRY SIMILARITIES / DIFFERENCES

NS fishing industry is most like New England's rather than Europe's:

Similar: biophysical environment, species diversity, economic & cultural importance, export markets, and commitment to sustainability AND similarly changing environmental conditions

Different: regulatory frameworks, rightsholders and species focus.

England, Wales, Ireland and northern Europe have different OSW development histories, biophysical environments, focal species, regulatory environments, and market dynamics.

Result: there is considerable variation in Fisheries Compensation Programs – but broad lessons can be drawn.



ARRIVING AT A FISHERIES COMPENSATION PROGRAM – THE PROCESS

The FCP is the outcome of a process - a series of decisions and created structures – from which the final program is derived.

- 1. Mitigation During Project Planning
- 2. Application of Regulatory Tools
- 3. Stakeholder Involvement
- 4. Mitigation and Coexistence Strategies
- 5. Fund Administration
- 6. Compensation Mechanisms
- 7. Eligibility and Valuation
- 8. Capacity Building and Support Services
- 9. Monitoring and Adaptive Management



THE PROCESS

1. Mitigation During Project Planning

Mitigation: minimizes or eliminates the need for compensation

- IA Commitments are made to offset economic impacts
- IA commitments are reviewed by regulators who can modify these commitments before the EA is finalized, impose new conditions at the EA approval stage, and/or manage certain effects during the subsequent permitting stage.
- These regulatory tools are used at critical moments in the project planning phase when mitigation is most effective.

Thus: provide proponents with early comprehensive regulatory guidance



THE PROCESS

5. Fund Administration

- Third party: local/provincial agencies, NGOs, or fishing industry partnerships for direct and indirect payments.
- Developer-led: thru a fisheries liaison officer to administer a direct claims program.
- **Set-aside programs**: Industry funded resources to generate funds which supports the sustainable management of the fishery (e.g., Taiwan's Power Development Foundation, West of Morecambe Fisheries Ltd.).
- Combination of any / all of the above

The core of an FCP is a transparent governance structure for managing the fund and assessing claims.

Governance should include representatives from fishing communities, gov't, and the wind industry.



THE PROCESS

9. Monitoring and Adaptive Management

- Establish monitoring programs to track the impacts on marine ecosystems and fishing over time. Use the data to adapt and improve compensation and mitigation measures.
- Monitor the <u>implementation of the compensation plan</u> and its effectiveness in addressing the needs of affected stakeholders.
- Evaluate evolving socio-economic impacts of OSW on commercial fisheries and the ability of compensation to mitigate these impacts.
- Adjust the compensation plan as needed based on feedback from stakeholders and changes in the regulatory environment or economic conditions.



APPROACHES TO FISHERIES COMPENSATION IN NOVA SCOTIA

Background image: Kongsberg.com

THANKS EVERYONE

Nova Scotia Offshore Wind R&D Forum, November 2024

